**The Constitution of the  
International Public Debate Association**

**PREAMBLE**

This Constitution represents the fundamental set of operating philosophies, principles, and practices for the International Public Debate Association. The primary goals of this association are:   
  
To provide contestants with a forum in which they can enhance their education through the laboratory of productive, "real-world" competitive debate experiences. Public Debate competitions are intended to provide rhetorically sound models of communicative debate which competitors can experience, study, emulate, and internalize. Tournament Directors are encouraged to offer a wide variety of topics and a wide range of judges to encourage participants to become familiar with and think strategically about the relationships among issues, arguments and audiences. In this way, the International Public Debate Association strives to provide contestants with a chance to develop advanced skills in audience sensitivity and analysis and the opportunity to develop a range of speaking and argumentation styles which will be successful in business, legal and professional settings.  
  
To provide instructors with a debate activity to which they can proudly invite colleagues, administrators, and community members as observers. This Association was created to offer an alternative to traditional debate--an alternative which encourages the continued contributions of graduating team members, sparks increased campus and community interest & involvement in forensics, and serves as a bridge to fund-raising activities. Competitions are intended to provide a forum in which classroom principles directly apply and where classroom students can be entered without undue embarrassment or ego-shock. And this Association encourages instructors to become active participants as well as judges so that they might remain sensitive to the students' experience, keep their own skills sharp, provide models of excellence for students to follow, and to provide additional avenues of instruction through mentoring.  
  
To provide economic and academic benefits to the forensic community. Public Debate is intended to offer a financially superior alternative to traditional debate. Tournament Directors are encouraged to keep Public Debate fees as low as is reasonably possible. The International Public Debate Association also encourages the scholarly and heuristic study of the pragmatics of effective argumentation and debate as it applies to real-world contexts, formats and audiences.  
  
**The philosophic foundations of the International Public Debate Association include:**  
  
*Inclusivity:* All interested individuals are encouraged to participate regardless of educational background, prior experience, or any other demographics.

*Lay Judging:* Tournament directors are encouraged to use as many real-world judges as possible. Training should be minimal and should emphasize fairness and how to properly conduct the round and fill out the ballot.   
  
*Multiple Topics:* Each round should open with a set of five resolutional choices and the contestants themselves should select the topic they will debate.   
  
*Limited Preparation:* Debaters should be allowed sufficient preparation time to give serious thought to their upcoming round. The use of extemporaneous preparation files and collaboration with coaches and colleagues is encouraged.   
  
*Extemporaneous Delivery:* The use of evidence cards and/or verbatim printed materials is prohibited. Such materials may be studied, memorized and/or paraphrased and handwritten notes made, but printed information may not be physically present in the round.

*Rhetorical Delivery:* Students should be encouraged to develop winning oratorical styles. The speaking style of the top Public Debaters should be highly effective when transferred into real world settings.   
  
*Ethos:* High quality speaking styles and courtesy should be prized, promoted, and rewarded. The use of appropriate humor is encouraged.

**I. MEMBERSHIP & DUES**

There are two categories of membership in the International Public Debate Association:   
  
*Program Membership* – ($35.00) A "program" is the basic unit of the International Public Debate Association. A program may be any institution which sponsors a debate squad. This includes, but is not limited to, Business Organizations, Colleges, Community Colleges, High Schools, Seminaries, Toastmasters Clubs, Trade Schools and Universities. A registered member program pays full dues, and receives full voting rights & all Association publications. Only program members in good standing are eligible to compete for the annual cumulative program sweepstakes championships.   
  
*Individual Membership* – ($15.00) Individuals may also take out membership in the International Public Debate Association. Individuals pay full dues and receive all publications but receive only limited voting rights. Individual members are eligible to compete for the cumulative individual sweepstakes championships but not for the cumulative program awards.

**II. OFFICERS AND DUTIES**

**A. The Executive Committee:** The Executive committee of the International Public Debate Association will be made up of the three primary officers. Past officers and such additional officers as may be added to the association can serve in an advisory capacity to the Executive Committee but will not vote in Executive Committee matters. The Executive Committee members will in addition to their individual responsibilities share the following duties:

* Uphold the basic principles and further the basic goals of the Association.
* Deliberate over such issues and challenges as are placed before it.
* Set policies, rules, procedures and fees associated with their administrative duties.
* Deliberate in the process of selecting the succession of executive committee officers and make appointments or, at their discretion, submit a set of candidates to the membership for election.
* Resolve unforeseen problems and disputes which may arise and/or be beyond the scope of this document.

1. Self-Perpetuating Board: The three primary officers of the International Public Debate Association are constituted as a self-perpetuating board.   
  
2. The three officers of the International Public Debate Association are:  
*a. President* - The President is appointed to a four-year term of office. The duties of the President are to chair business meetings, promote the International Public Debate Association and its goals, uphold this Constitution, serve as primary spokesperson and liaison for the organization, be the principal individual responsible for administering this constitution and its bylaws, and to monitor the progress of the Association.

*b. Executive Secretary* - The Executive Secretary serves an indefinite term of office. The duties of the Executive Secretary are to take care of the budgetary and secretarial duties of the Association, to conduct such elections as may be necessary, to maintain the annual sweepstakes records, to be responsible for maintaining the membership records and the tournament sanctioning process, to record and make available the minutes of business meetings; and to coordinate with the Managing Director to ensure the efficient dissemination of materials to the membership.   
  
*c. Managing Director* - The Managing Director serves a four-year term of office. The duties of the Managing Director are to oversee the management of the materials and supplies used by the association including the editing and production of Association publications; making such publications available to the general membership & other interested parties; and keeping such records as pertain to Association publications. The Managing Director will also be responsible for arranging and coordinating all IPDA events including the end of the season Championship Tournament.  
  
3. Responsibility to Create and Review Bylaws: These are the general set of objectives and responsibilities for the three primary Association officers. Unless otherwise stated, these officers shall have full authority to set rules and procedures for carrying out the duties within their domain of responsibility. Such rules and procedures which achieve the status of bylaws will be submitted to the Governing Board for comment for a period of at least 30 days, and subsequently to the program membership for comment a minimum of 60 days before implementation. Changes that will alter season long awards must be announced before the first sanctioned tournament of that season. Any member of the International Public Debate Association may propose additions and revisions to the bylaws (and/or suggestions for constitutional amendments). Such proposals must be submitted in writing to the executive secretary and receive the approval of the executive committee before continuing through the aforementioned process.

**B. The Governing Board:** The International Public Debate Association shall establish a Governing Board, in accordance with the bylaws, to serve in an advisory capacity to the Executive Committee.

**III. ELECTIONS**

Elections will be held when called for by the Executive Committee. The Executive Secretary will conduct elections in accordance with the Rules & Procedures set up by the Executive Committee.

**IV.TOURNAMENT SANCTIONING & PROCEDURES**

**A. Sanctioning Guideline:**  
One goal of the International Public Debate Association is to reserve as much local latitude and control to the Tournament Host/Director as is possible. The procedures governing Tournament sanctioning are as follows:   
  
**B. Application:**  
Tournament Hosts/Directors may receive tournament sanctioning by making application to the Executive Secretary and having their tournament dates approved. Every effort will be made to avoid scheduling conflicts to maximize everyone's ability to participate effectively. Tournaments will be approved based on geographic location and seniority. Directors are encouraged to apply early in case adjustment of tournament dates is required.

**C. Sanctioning Requirements:**  
For a tournament to be sanctioned and have its results count toward the Cumulative Sweepstakes totals it must meet the following criteria:   
  
1. It must be sanctioned through the Executive Secretary and its dates published in an official International Public Debate Association announcement.   
  
2. A minimum of five (5) programs must enter competition. The host institution may be included in the five.   
  
3. A minimum of sixteen (16) competitors must participate in Public Debate. At least half (8) of these must be from programs other than the host. To count as a participant, a competitor must compete in a minimum of 'one-half plus one' of the preliminary rounds offered (e.g. 6 prelims [4 rounds]; 8 prelims [5 rounds]).

4. Divisions must adhere to the guidelines published in the bylaws.  
  
5. Tournament Directors are expected to make every effort to adhere to the fundamental principles of the International Public Debate Association as outlined in this Constitution and its Bylaws.   
  
6. It is strongly recommended that Tournament Directors provide orientation materials and training for their judges.  
  
**D. Reporting Requirement:**  
For a program to retain its International Public Debate Association Sanctioning, the local Tournament Director/Host must submit the official results to the Executive Secretary not later than 15 days after the culmination of the event or 7 days before the scheduled start of the Championship Tournament whichever comes sooner. Tournament Directors are encouraged to submit their results over the Internet or by fax. It is the Tournament Director's responsibility to obtain confirmation that these results have been received by the Executive Secretary.

**V. THE CHAMPIONSHIP TOURNAMENT**

The Championship Tournament will be the culminating event of the International Public Debate Association competitive season. All cumulative awards will be presented at the Awards Assembly of this event. The Championship Tournament will also be associated with an annual Convention and Business Meeting of the Association members.   
  
The Managing Director will organize and coordinate the various activities required for hosting the Championship Tournament and Convention.

**VI. CONFLICT RESOLUTION**

**A. Guidelines:**  
The International Public Debate Association is open to everyone, regardless of race, gender, age, religion, political affiliation, or demographic discriminator. As a membership, we are committed to equal opportunity and the maximization of personal growth for all of our members. Respect must be extended to all.   
  
**B. Grievances & Challenges:**  
Any member with a grievance or belief that there has been some violation of the rules or philosophy of this Association has the right to register that complaint with the Association. The procedure regarding such complaints is as follows:   
  
1. First, it is expected that a reasonable, good-faith effort will be made to discuss and remedy problems at the lowest possible level. For an issue with an individual round, whenever possible a grievance or challenge should be made to the tournament director prior to the decision of the round being known. Tournament directors are encouraged to resolve tournament disputes on site if at all possible.   
  
2. The member with the grievance has the option of making informal contact with one or more of the association officers who may, at their discretion, offer advice and/or attempt to mediate a resolution of the dispute.   
  
3. If the informal problem-solving process has failed, the grievance may be written up and submitted to the executive secretary. No 'official' action will be taken until such a written complaint is received. Association members should, consistent with making every effort to resolve the problem at lower levels, try to file their complaint in as timely a manner as possible.   
  
4. The Executive Committee will attempt to investigate, discuss, and resolve complaints as quickly as possible. The Executive Committee may, at its sole discretion formally mediate the dispute, issue a summary judgment, or set up some other adjudication process. As a condition of membership, all members of the association agree to accept the decisions of the Executive Committee as final and binding.  
  
**C: Sanctions:**  
The Executive Committee will make every effort to settle disputes without resorting to sanctions. If sanctions are in order, the Committee will try to avoid making them unnecessarily harsh. However, here again, all members of the association agree to abide by the rules and decisions of the Executive Committee as a condition of membership.

**VII. CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENTS**

Proposals for amendments to this constitution may be generated by the Executive Committee, the Governing Board, or by any of the voting Association members. Such proposals must be submitted to the Executive Secretary in writing and approved by a majority vote of the Executive Committee, followed by a majority vote of the Governing Board, before being submitted for ratification to the general voting membership. A 3/4 vote of at least 3/4 of the voting members is required to ratify a change to this Constitution.

**Adopted May 2010**

**Bylaws of the International Public Debate Association**

**Article 1. Event Description**

The critical principles and elements of Public Debate were listed in the preamble to the Constitution. The following more specific event description is intended to provide a practical set of guidelines for competitors and tournament directors. Public Debate is an academic public speaking exercise which is defined by the following elements, rules, and procedures:   
  
A. Eligibility: Individual competitors must be at least 18 years of age or be a currently enrolled college student representing the collegiate institution where they are currently enrolled. Otherwise, there are no restrictions on competitors within the organization.  
  
B. Judges: Individual judges must be at least 18 years of age or be a currently enrolled college student representing the collegiate institution where they are currently enrolled. It is actively recommended that judging pools be made up of as wide a range of backgrounds, abilities, and perspectives as possible. Tournament directors are encouraged to use class or volunteer undergraduate students as judges.   
  
C. Ballots: An official ballot will be used in judging Public Debates. Copies of this ballot will be made available by the Managing Director. They may be purchased at cost plus 20% from the Association or members are free to have their own versions produced. Any substantial deviation from the official ballot must be approved by the Executive Committee.   
  
D. Seating: Contestants should seat themselves such that, from the audience's point of view, the Affirmative is on the left and the Negative on the right.   
  
E. Topic Areas & Resolutions: The topic areas and specific resolutions for Public Debate are left to the discretion of Tournament Directors. Topics should be fair to all parties attending Public Debate tournaments. Tournament Directors should avoid local issues which are inaccessible to visiting competitors. Resolutions should be as balanced as possible giving equal ground to both the Affirmative and Negative. Tournament directors are encouraged to include a variety of fact, value and policy resolutions.   
  
F. Topic Draw: All division contestants will meet in a central location for an extemporaneous topic draw before the scheduled start of the debate. The official recommended draw time is 30 minutes but the exact time is up to the Tournament Director. Contestants will be offered five (5) topics. Each pair of opponents will independently select the topic they wish to debate. Starting with the Negative speaker, each contestant will alternatively strike one of the five until only one remains. That will be the debate resolution for the round. Contestants must complete the topic selection process independently and without outside assistance. Tournament Directors may set their own policies concerning the specific issues that come up during the draw including what to do about competitors who show up late and topic draw protests.   
  
G. Preparation: Debaters are permitted to use reference materials during their preparation time before debating. They may utilize extemporaneous speaking type files, dictionaries, reference books, libraries, or anything else for that matter. They may also consult with teammates and/or coaches for ideas and advice.  
  
H. Format: Public Debate will use the following formats:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Individual Debate Format:  5 minute 1st Affirmative  2 minute Cross Examination  6 minute 1st Negative  2 minute Cross Examination  3 minute Affirmative Rebuttal  5 minute Negative Rebuttal  3 minute Affirmative Summary |  | Team Debate Format:  4 minute 1st Aff. Constructive  2 minute 2nd Neg. Cross Examination  5 minute 1st Neg. Constructive  2 minute 1st Aff. Cross Examination  5 minute 2nd Aff. Constructive  2 minute 1st Neg. Cross Examination  4 minute 2nd Neg. Constructive  2 minute 2nd Aff. Cross Examination  3 minute 1st Neg. Rebuttal  4 minute 1st Aff. Rebuttal  4 minute 2nd Neg. Rebuttal  3 minute 2nd Aff. Rebuttal |

Tournament directors must receive Executive Committee approval to deviate from the individual debate format; tournament directors may establish longer time limits for team debate, but those times must be published in the official invitation for the tournament. The IPDA recommends that speakers be prepared to speak immediately following each other; competitors may be allowed up to 10 seconds. This is a matter of the Tournament Director's discretion and it is recommended that the policy be included in the tournament invitation. In the absence of an announced rule, special prep time beyond the aforementioned reasonable time between speeches is not allowed. Judges should be made aware of prep time rules and count off for abuses.   
  
I. Use of Evidence During Debates: Contestants may not bring printed reference materials into the round with them. No “reading” of evidence will be permitted. They may only bring and reference handwritten case outlines and limited notes which they have worked up during the round’s preparation time. Evidence must be memorized or paraphrased for use during debates. This is another case where judges should be made aware of this rule and instructed to count off for abuses. Serious violations of this rule should cause the judge to automatically award the decision to the opponent.   
  
J. Fairness: Debaters will, as much as possible, be left to their own devices. Affirmative's are allowed to define resolutions; however, Affirmative interpretations and definitions must fit within the resolution and leave Negatives fair ground for the debate. If an Affirmative's case is too lopsided and/or tautological (used to define itself as winning by definition), this opens the door for the Negative to provide an alternate set of definitions. But the Negative can only redefine terms if the Affirmative has abused its prerogative. If the Affirmative can demonstrate they have met the aforementioned burdens when challenged, then Affirmative definitions will have presumption. The judge is the final arbiter of definitional squabbles.   
  
K. Nomenclature & Procedure: The two sides in a Public Debate will be known as the Affirmative and Negative. There will be no "rising" to points of order, standing with one hand on your head, or heckling during speeches. If debaters have questions or problems they should ask about them during cross-examination and/or raise them as points during their next speech.

L. Style: The goal of the International Public Debate Association is to promote a highly rhetorical and oratorical style of public speaking. For this reason, it is recommended that judges be instructed to award the decision in a close round to the superior speaking style rather than to the negative.   
  
M. Etiquette: Public Debaters are expected to maintain a highly polite, civil, and professional demeanor during rounds. Judges should be instructed to reward appropriate ethos and count off for abusiveness.

N. Divisions:

**IPDA Division Eligibility Rationale:**

It is the intent of the International Public Debate Association to fairly categorize competitors based on their level of overall experience.  The divisions adopted in the IPDA Bylaws reflect the idea that skill development in the art of argumentation is a product of time and experience.  Coaches should assess any form of argumentation experience that their students may have as they are entered into the appropriate, competitive divisions.

1. Novice Division entries must adhere to the following definition: Competitors must be currently enrolled students at the institution they are representing and must be representing an official program affiliated with an academic institution; they will no longer be eligible to compete in the Novice Division once they:

a. have competed in eight debate tournaments in any capacity at any level in any combination (e.g., high school or college; novice, junior or open, CX, Policy, Value, NDT, CEDA, Parliamentary, NFA, L-D, etc.). If a student has competed in eight tournaments AND the IPDA National Tournament would constitute their ninth tournament, then they will be deemed eligible for the Novice Division. And/or:   
  
b. Are no longer eligible to compete in the varsity division.

2. Junior Varsity Division entries must adhere to the following definition: Competitors must be currently enrolled students at the institution they are representing and must be representing an official program affiliated with an academic institution; they will no longer be eligible to compete in the Junior Varsity Division once they:

a. have competed in eight tournaments beyond their novice eligibility OR have competed in sixteen debate tournaments in any capacity at the collegiate level in any combination (e.g. novice, junior or open, IPDA, CX, Policy, Value, NDT, CEDA, Parliamentary, NFA, L-D, etc.). If a student has competed in sixteen tournaments AND the IPDA National Tournament would constitute their seventeenth tournament, then they will be deemed eligible for the Junior Varsity Division.

b. Are no longer eligible to compete in the varsity division.

3. Varsity Division entries must adhere to the following definition:   
Competitors must be currently enrolled students at the institution they are representing and must be representing an official program affiliated with an academic institution; they will no longer be eligible to compete in the Varsity Division:

a. once they have been awarded a 4-year, undergraduate, Bachelor's-level degree; but, this restriction does not include 2-year, Associate-level degrees. Students who earn a Bachelor’s degree in the middle of the IPDA competitive season, who otherwise meet the requirements for Varsity eligibility and who have never competed at the IPDA National Tournament in the Varsity Division may apply to the IPDA Executive Committee for eligibility to enter the Varsity Division in that season’s National Tournament (if granted, this exemption will apply only to the IPDA National Tournament for that season, and not to regular season or other tournaments); any request for exemption must be received a minimum of one week prior to the entry deadline for the national tournament.

b. and/or following competition in any format of debate while representing a college/university during ten semesters of competition or fifteen quarters of competition (depending upon the classification system of their academic institution). For institutions observing the semester system, a semester of eligibility is used when a student competes in more than 2 debate tournaments during that period; for institutions observing the quarter system, a quarter of eligibility is used when a student competes in 2 or more debate tournaments during that period.

4. The Professional Division shall be open to any individual, provided they meet the general requirements for competitors listed elsewhere in the Constitution and Bylaws.

5. The Team Debate Varsity Division shall follow the same guidelines as the Varsity

Division for individual debate.

6. For determining what counts as a “debate tournament” for purposes of eligibility, the

following shall apply:

1. Any structured competition event that includes constructive and rebuttal argumentation would be defined as a “debate” for purposes of eligibility requirements.
2. A “tournament” shall be any official competition regardless of the level (ex: middle school, high school or collegiate). This shall not include practice competitions that are a part of a workshop.

O. Electronic Devices: Debaters are encouraged to bring a timer/stopwatch for use during rounds. However, no electronic devices capable of receiving and/or retrieving data (cellular phones, laptop computers, etc.) may be used during a debate; exceptions to this rule will be granted based solely upon A.D.A. compliance.

P. Any tournament that deviates from the prescription of tournament practices in the constitution and/or bylaws must receive written Executive Committee consent at least two weeks prior to the scheduled tournament for that tournament to receive IPDA sanctioning. Additionally, these deviations should be clearly detailed in the official tournament invitation.

R. For an issue with an individual round, whenever possible a grievance or challenge should be made to the tournament director prior to the decision of the round being known.

**Article 2. Cumulative Point System**

Consistent with the principles set out in the constitution, the following rules will govern the cumulative sweepstakes points for all divisions of IPDA competition.   
  
A. Individual and program cumulative sweepstakes points will be awarded using the following formula:

1. Each preliminary round win will count as one (1) point (including byes or forfeits) up to a maximum of six (6) prelim points per competitor per tournament. Competitors who achieved records of 6-2, 7-1, and 8-0 in an 8 preliminary round tournament would each receive 6 points. A competitor who achieved a 5-0 record in a 5 preliminary round tournament would receive 5 points. These points shall be doubled for team debates.

2. Based on the qualifying size of the field, each competitor will be awarded one point for breaking to outrounds plus 2 additional points for each outround victory. These points shall be doubled for team debates.  
  
3. The number of qualifying outrounds will be determined by the size of the field in the division. If a tournament qualifies for sanctioning based on its total field, each of the divisions will automatically qualify for points. Sanctioned outround points for each division will be based on the following:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Field Size: | Qualifying Outrounds: |
| below 4 | 0 |
| 4-6 | 1 |
| 7-14 | 2 |
| 15-30 | 3 |
| 31-62 | 4 |
| 63-126 | 5 |
| 127+ | 6 |

4. At least half of the qualifying field must come from schools other than the host institution. If, for example, a division had 37 entries but only 12 came from other than the host school, the qualifying field size would be 24 (2 x 12). It would be up to the Tournament Director's discretion whether to break to quarterfinals or to octofinals, but only the final 3 outrounds in that division would qualify for cumulative sweepstakes points.

5. Tournaments are free to offer as many preliminary competition rounds as they wish; however, only preliminary competition rounds that can be run “clean” (a round in which the competitors are from different programs and have not previously debated during the tournament) will be counted toward season long points. Additionally, the competitors advancing to elimination rounds must be based on these “clean” rounds only (speaker awards are left to the tournament director’s discretion).

6. A program / individual may count one “closed tournament” (a tournament open to members only, for example: the National Christian College Forensics Association National Tournament) per year toward season long awards.

B. The following formula will be applied to break ties in the end of the season cumulative sweepstakes point totals:

First, compare the total points earned at tournaments in common for neutral site tournaments (tournaments are considered “neutral sites” when they are hosted by a program not involved in the tie).

Second, the following points will be calculated for the top 6 tournaments:

5 points for each first place  
4 points for each second place  
3 points for each semi final finish  
2 points for each quarter final finish  
1 point for each octofinal finish

0 points for double-octofinals or any other out rounds

Third, drop the high and low tournament points and compare the point totals. This dropping of high and low points will occur even if a competitor has fewer than six total tournaments.

Fourth, compare the seventh tournament. If only one of the competitors has a 7th tournament then he/she will be recognized as winning the tie.

Fifth, compare the eighth tournament. If only one of the competitors has a 8th tournament then he/she will be recognized as winning the tie.

Sixth, if there is still no difference in points after the previous levels of tie break procedure the tie will be broken in a coin toss that will be conducted during the EC meeting at the championship tournament.

C. The following requirement and restriction shall apply to home tournament sweepstakes points:

1. A program will only be able to count individual and/or team sweepstakes points, for any division, toward their end of the season total if the IPDA event director (with full control and final say in running the event) comes from somewhere other than the host program. And   
  
2. An individual or a program will be able to count no more than one tournament hosted on their campus for any of the seasonal sweepstakes awards (an exception will be granted for hosting official state/regional championship tournaments that rotate host sites each year).

D. Sweepstakes Points:

D. Sweepstakes Points:

1. The top three finishers in each individual division (and top two teams from each team division) from each member program of an International Public Debate Association sanctioned tournament will contribute to their program's cumulative sweepstakes points for that division of that tournament.   
  
2. Each program's point totals from its best six International Public Debate Association sanctioned tournaments for each division will count towards the cumulative sweepstakes program awards for each division.   
  
3. The tournament director will report tournament results to the Executive Secretary.

E. Association Awards: At the Championship Tournament, the awards presented by the IPDA will include, but are not limited to, the following:

1. The President’s Cup: Awarded to the first place competitor in the professional division at the Championship Tournament.
2. 1st Place Varsity Division at the Championship Tournament
3. 1st Place Junior Varsity Division at the Championship Tournament
4. 1st Place Novice Division at the Championship Tournament
5. 1st Place Team Debate at the Championship Tournament
6. Protagoras Cup: Awarded to the first place program at the Championship Tournament
7. 1st Place Speaker in the Professional Division at the Championship Tournament
8. 1st Place Speaker in the Varsity Division at the Championship Tournament
9. 1st Place Speaker in the Junior Varsity Division at the Championship Tournament
10. 1st Place Speaker in the Novice Division at the Championship Tournament
11. 1st Place Speaker in the Team Debate Division at the Championship Tournament
12. 1st place season-long finisher in the Professional Division
13. 1st place season-long program in the Professional Division
14. 1st place season-long finisher in the Varsity Division
15. 1st place season-long program in the Varsity Division
16. 1st place season-long finisher in the Junior Varsity Division
17. 1st place season-long program in the Junior Varsity Division
18. 1st place season-long program in the Novice Division.
19. 1st place season-long finisher in the Novice Division
20. 1st Place Team Debate Sweepstakes: Awarded to the first place season-long program in the Team Debate Division
21. Founders Award: Awarded to the first place season-long program in overall competition (counting all individual debate divisions of IPDA debate)
22. Top Community College: Awarded to the first place season-long program representing a two-year institution in overall competition (counting all divisions of IPDA debate)
23. Season Long Scholastic Championship Award: Awarded to the first place season-long program, combining the novice, junior varsity, and varsity divisions of individual debate.
24. First Place Scholastic Sweepstakes: Awarded to the first place program, combining the novice, junior varsity, and varsity divisions of individual debate, during the Championship Tournament
25. Bennett Strange Coach of the Year Award

**Article 3. Governing Board**

The International Public Debate Association will establish a 7-member Governing Board.   
  
A. The Governing Board will be charged with deliberating over policies, rules, and procedures which affect the Association. Items for consideration may originate with the Governing Board; they may be suggested by the Executive Committee; or they might originate with any other individual, program, or group within the Association. Items intended for Governing Board consideration may be submitted directly to that body, or it may be submitted indirectly by way of any IPDA officer. But submission of an item does not guarantee deliberation. The governing board itself will decide which items to consider and on what basis and on what schedule.   
  
B. Recommendations for change which come from the Governing Board will be referred to the Executive Committee. With Executive Committee approval and depending on the nature of the change, these recommendations will either be presented to the membership for a vote (consistent with the provisions of the Constitution), or implemented by the Executive Committee (if they fall within the scope of that body's discretionary powers to set policies, rules, procedures and fees).   
  
C. The six regular members of the Governing Board will serve staggered 3-year terms, such that every year, at the end of the season Championship Tournament, two regular seats and the varsity representative position on this board will come open. One of these will be filled by an election of the program members. One of these will be filled by an appointment of the Executive Committee. The third, known as the varsity representative position, shall be filled by the current Varsity Division season-long champion for the following year (in the event that this person is unable or unwilling to serve, the position will be offered to the highest finishing competitor in the varsity season-long competition that is both willing and able to serve). Nominations for membership to the Governing Board may come from any International Public Debate Association individual or program member. Current Executive Committee members will not be eligible to sit on this Board. The procedures governing the submission of nominations and the election & appointment of Governing Board members will be the responsibility of the Executive Secretary. Advisory positions in addition to the 7-members may be added by majority vote of the Governing Board; however, these advisees will not have an official vote in Governing Board matters.  
  
D. Every year, the Governing Board will elect one of it's members to serve as Chair. The duties of the chair will be to coordinate schedules, set agendas, chair meetings, communicate with the Executive Committee, and set the policies, rules, and procedures which will govern the business meetings of the Board for that season.

**Article 4. Programs and Membership Affiliation**

The following provisions will govern the formation and registration of International Public Debate Association program members.   
  
A. A program can be any affiliation of individuals who wish to compete in the Public Debate event at IPDA sanctioned tournaments.   
  
B. Programs must be officially registered with and members in good standing of IPDA before they can begin accumulating sweepstakes points.   
  
C. A program can be affiliated with an institution or an independent debating club. Each institution can only sponsor one official program. The only exception to this will be in the case where there is a college forensics program in existence which does not support IPDA. If a separate public debating club is formed on campus and later the official forensics program decides to include IPDA, they will be allowed to co-exist. In this case, they will be encouraged (but not obligated) to merge.   
  
D. Before a college-affiliated debating club is formed, every effort should be made to contact the official forensics sponsor of that institution to see if IPDA can be added to the existing program. If such a sponsor does not exist, is unwilling to include IPDA, or is only willing to do so with unfavorable restrictions, individuals may apply for program status. It will be up to the Executive Committee to investigate this situation, contact the current sponsor (if any), and decide whether to allow the club to form as an institutional program.   
  
E. The directors of college-affiliated IPDA programs have complete jurisdiction over individual participation and independent program formation on their respective campuses. Individuals who are currently enrolled in their institutions of higher learning can only engage in IPDA activities with the consent of the program director. To affiliate with an institutional IPDA program, an individual must be, or have been, an official member of that institution; individuals who are or have been members of two different institutional programs have the option of competing for either. Individuals do not have an obligation to debate for a program which they are, or have been, affiliated; nor is there an obligation on the part of an institutional program to accept everyone who applies from that institution as a member.  
  
F. Within the limits specified above, anyone can, without prejudice, form an independent debating club and apply for program status. Individuals are welcome to affiliate with any independent debating club that will have them; there is no obligation for an independent debating club to accept all comers. Individuals also have the option of competing as independent entries in sanctioned public debate events without program affiliation; any points earned while competing as an “independent entry” will remain separate from points earned while competing for a program (and will not be combined at any point).

**Article 5. Official Outround Policies**

In order to promote consistent standards and fairness, the following IPDA policies shall governing sanctioned tournament outrounds:   
  
A. Following standard debate tournament practice and based on the final preliminary round results, the bracket will be set so that the high seed will be scheduled to hit the low seed. Brackets from that point will not be broken for any reason. I.e.:   
  
Final Round 1-2  
Semi-Final Round 1-4, 2-3  
Quarter-Final Round 1-8, 2-7, 3-6, 4-5  
Octo-final 1-16, 2-15, 3-14, Etc.   
  
B. For tournaments offering fewer elimination rounds than could be allowed by these bylaws (ex: a “gold/silver” elimination round), those elimination rounds will be included for season long points.

**Adopted May 2010**

**Revised September 2011**

**Revised September 2012**
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**Revised August 2015**